

REPRESENTATIONS OF HECKE ALGEBRAS AND DILATIONS OF SEMIGROUP CROSSED PRODUCTS

NADIA S. LARSEN AND IAIN RAEBURN

ABSTRACT. We consider a family of Hecke C^* -algebras which can be realised as crossed products by semigroups of endomorphisms. We show by dilating representations of the semigroup crossed product that the category of representations of the Hecke algebra is equivalent to the category of continuous unitary representations of a totally disconnected locally compact group.

Suppose that M is a subgroup of a group Γ such that $|M \cap \gamma^{-1}M\gamma|$ is finite for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$; we say that M is an almost normal subgroup or that (Γ, M) is a Hecke pair. The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, M)$ is a convolution $*$ -algebra of functions on the double coset space $M \backslash \Gamma / M$, which can be represented in the commutant of the quasi-regular representation $\text{Ind}_M^\Gamma 1$ [8]; C^* -algebraic completions of Hecke algebras played a fundamental role in the analysis by Bost and Connes of phase transitions in number theory [2]. Several authors have since investigated classes of C^* -Hecke algebras which can be realised as semigroup crossed products [13, 1, 3, 11], and these realisations have provided valuable insight to the work of Bost and Connes [9, 18].

A recent theorem of Hall [5] asserts that, for a large class of Hecke pairs (Γ, M) , the category of nondegenerate representations of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, M)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, M)$ of unitary representations of Γ which are generated by their M -fixed vectors. From an operator-algebraic point of view, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, M)$ seems an unusual category: it is not obvious, for example, whether it is the category of representations of some familiar C^* -algebra. Here we consider a class of Hecke algebras $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, M)$ which can be realised as semigroup crossed products, and show that for these pairs (Γ, M) , a representation of Γ is in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, M)$ precisely when it has been dilated from a representation of the corresponding semigroup crossed product. We then use the dilation-extension theory of Laca [10] to identify a single locally compact group Γ_∞ whose category of continuous unitary representations is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, M)$.

We begin in §1 by describing a family (Γ, M) of Hecke pairs in which Γ is a semi-direct product $N \rtimes G$, M is a normal subgroup of N , and G has the form $S^{-1}S$ for some subsemigroup S . It is shown in [11], generalising results in [3] and [1], that the associated Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, M)$ is isomorphic to a semigroup crossed product $C^*(N/M) \rtimes_\alpha S$. In Theorem 1.1, we show that for each covariant representation (π, V) of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$ and each minimal unitary dilation U of V , there is a representation of $N \rtimes G$ on $\mathcal{H}_U$ which is generated by its M -fixed vectors; more importantly, we show that they all arise this way.

Date: 8 June 2001.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L55.

The first author and her research were supported by the Danish Natural Science Research Council, and the research was also supported by the Australian Research Council.

Laca's analysis of dilations now suggests that we should study the minimal automorphic dilation of the semigroup system $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$, which is an ordinary dynamical system (B, G, β) such that $C^*(N/M) \rtimes_\alpha S$ embeds naturally as a full corner in $B \rtimes_\beta G$. We take for B the C^* -algebra of a totally disconnected locally compact completion N_∞ of N , so that $B \rtimes_\beta G$ is the group C^* -algebra of a semi-direct product $\Gamma_\infty = N_\infty \rtimes G$ which is a completion of $N \rtimes G$. For the Hecke algebra of Bost and Connes, our construction yields as N_∞ the group $\mathbb{A}_f$ of finite adeles, as in [10, §3].

Our main Theorem 3.1 says that $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, M)$ is equivalent to the category of continuous unitary representations of $N_\infty \rtimes G$, and that this equivalence is implemented by Laca's dilation-extension process. To prove this last point, we need a categorical version of [10, Theorem 2.4], which we provide in the Appendix. The main idea is that the dilation-extension process can be viewed as the induction process associated to a Morita equivalence, and hence is automatically an equivalence of categories.

While we have been working on this project, there have been several other developments in the area. Tzanev [24, Theorem 1.17], and independently Glöckner and Willis [4], have used a construction of Schlichting [22] to associate to each Hecke pair (Γ, M) a locally compact completion $(\bar{\Gamma}, \bar{M})$ which yields the same Hecke algebra. The completion $\bar{\Gamma}$ is totally disconnected, and $\bar{M}$ is a compact open subgroup; a uniqueness theorem for such completions [24, Proposition 1.16] implies that, in our setting, $\bar{\Gamma}$ is isomorphic to $N_\infty \rtimes G$. We suspect, however, that our construction of N_∞ as an inverse limit may be helpful in applications (see §4). Our interest in this subject was aroused by conversations with Steve Kaliszewski, Magnus Landstad and John Quigg, and they have now obtained Hall's equivalence as a Morita equivalence associated to a corner in $C^*(\bar{\Gamma})$ [7]. It is interesting that the Ore condition $G = S^{-1}S$, which arises here because it is a crucial hypothesis in both [11] and [10], also appears in the analyses of [5] and [7].

Acknowledgements. We thank Steve Kaliszewski, Magnus Landstad and John Quigg for many helpful conversations.

Conventions. We view the C^* -algebra of a group G as the C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ generated by a universal unitary representation $g \mapsto \delta_g$: when G is discrete, the δ_g are unitary elements of $C^*(G)$ which span a dense subspace of $C^*(G)$, and when G is locally compact, the δ_g are unitary multipliers of $C^*(G)$, $\delta : G \rightarrow UM(C^*(G))$ is strictly continuous, and the elements $f = \int_G f(g) \delta_g dg$ for $f \in C_c(G)$ span a dense subspace of $C^*(G)$. The map $\pi \mapsto \pi \circ \delta$ sets up a one-to-one correspondence between the nondegenerate representations of $C^*(G)$ and the continuous unitary representations of G ; we write π_W for the representation of $C^*(G)$ associated to $W : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$, so that $W = \pi_W \circ \delta$.

If $\psi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ is a homomorphism, we realise the semi-direct product $N \rtimes_\psi G$ as the set $N \times G$ with $(m, g)(n, h) := (m\psi_g(n), gh)$. The action ψ of G on N induces an action ψ_* of G on $C^*(N)$ such that $(\psi_*)_g(\delta_n) = \delta_{\psi_g(n)}$. If $W : N \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ and $U : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ are unitary representations, then (π_W, U) is a covariant representation of $(C^*(N), G, \psi_*)$ if and only if $U_g W_n U_g^* = W_{\psi_g(n)}$, and then $W \rtimes U : (n, g) \mapsto W_n U_g$ is a unitary representation of $N \rtimes_\psi G$. All representations of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ arise this way: indeed, there is a natural isomorphism of $C^*(N \rtimes_\psi G)$ onto the crossed product

$C^*(N) \rtimes_{\psi^*} G$ which carries $\pi_{W \rtimes U}$ into the integrated form $\pi_W \times U$ of (π_W, U) . All this works for discrete groups and for continuous actions on locally compact groups.

1. HALL'S INDUCTION PROCESS AS A DILATION

We begin by establishing our notation. Throughout S will be an Ore semigroup; this means that S is a cancellative semigroup with $Ss \cap St \neq \emptyset$ for all $s, t \in S$, and implies that S is a subsemigroup of an enveloping group G such that $G = S^{-1}S := \{s^{-1}t : s, t \in S\}$. We suppose that we have an action ψ of G by automorphisms of another group N , and that there is a normal subgroup M of N such that $M \subset \psi_s(M)$ and $|M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)| < \infty$ for $s \in S$, and such that

$$(1.1) \quad \bigcap_{s \in S} \psi_s^{-1}(M) = \{e\}.$$

It is shown in [11] that M is an almost normal subgroup of the semi-direct product $\Gamma := N \rtimes_{\psi} G$, and thus gives rise to a Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, M)$. The example to bear in mind is $S = \mathbb{N}^*$, $G = \mathbb{Q}_+^*$, $N = \mathbb{Q}$, $\psi_g(r) = g^{-1}r$, and $M = \mathbb{Z}$, which yields the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^*, \mathbb{Z})$ of Bost and Connes [2].

It was also proved in [11] that there is an action α of S by injective endomorphisms of $C^*(N/M)$ such that

$$\alpha_s(\delta_{nM}) = \frac{1}{|M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{\{mM \in N/M : \psi_s^{-1}(m)M = nM\}} \delta_{mM}.$$

Every such action $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{End } A$ has a crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} S$, which is universal for covariant representations $(\pi, V) : (A, S) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of a representation V of S by isometries on $\mathcal{H}$ and a nondegenerate representation π of A on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\pi(\alpha_s(a)) = V_s \pi(a) V_s^*$ for $a \in A$, $s \in S$. These *semigroup crossed products* are studied in [12] and [17], for example, and that every system of our kind has one is proved in [10]. A theorem in [11] says that the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, M)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup crossed product $C^*(N/M) \rtimes_{\alpha} S$; in our motivating example, we recover the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q} \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_+^*, \mathbb{Z}) \cong C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{N}^*$ used in [13], [9], [14] and [18].

We can study isometric representations of a semigroup by dilating them to unitary representations of an enveloping group. For abelian semigroups, this construction has been around for many years, and Laca has recently extended it to Ore semigroups [10]. He proved that for every isometric representation $V : S \rightarrow B(H)$, there are a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ containing H as a closed subspace and a unitary representation $U : G := S^{-1}S \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ such that $V_s = U_s|_H$ and $\bigcup_{s \in S} U_s^* H$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ [10, Theorem 1.4]; up to unitary equivalence, there is exactly one such *minimal unitary dilation* U .

Our first theorem shows how dilating representations of the semigroup system $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$ yields Hall's category of representations of $N \rtimes_{\psi} G$ generated by their M -fixed vectors.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (π, V) be a covariant representation of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$ on H , and suppose that $U : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ is a minimal unitary dilation of V . Then there is a unitary representation W of N on $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$(1.2) \quad W_n U_s^* h = U_s^* \pi(\delta_{\psi_s(n)M}) h \quad \text{for } h \in H \text{ and } s \in S;$$

in particular, $H \subset \mathcal{H}^M := \{h \in \mathcal{H} : W_m h = h \text{ for all } m \in M\}$. The pair (W, U) defines a representation $W \rtimes U$ of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ which is generated by its space $\mathcal{H}^M$ of M -fixed vectors, and we can recover π as π_Y , where $Y_{nM} := W_n|_H$.

Conversely, suppose $X \rtimes U : N \rtimes_\psi G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation which is generated by its space $\mathcal{H}^M$ of M -fixed vectors. Then $V : s \mapsto U_s|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$ is an isometric representation of S , and U is a minimal unitary dilation of V . With $Y_{nM} := X_n|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$, the pair (π_Y, V) is a covariant representation of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$ on $\mathcal{H}^M$, and applying the construction of the previous paragraph to (π_Y, V) gives $W = X$.

The proof of this theorem will occupy the rest of the section. For the first part, suppose (π, V) is a covariant representation of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$ on H . We write $\pi = \pi_Y$, and the covariance relation translates to

$$(1.3) \quad V_s Y_{nM} V_s^* = \frac{1}{|M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{\{mM \in N/M : \psi_s^{-1}(m)M = nM\}} Y_{mM} \quad \text{for } n \in N.$$

Saying that U is a minimal dilation of V says that $H \subset \mathcal{H}$ is invariant for U_s , that $U_s|_H = V_s$, and that $\bigcup_{s \in S} U_s^* H$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. To see that there is a well-defined map W_n satisfying (1.2), we have to prove that if $U_s^* h = U_t^* k$ for some $s, t \in S$ and $h, k \in H$, then

$$(1.4) \quad U_s^* Y_{\psi_s(n)M} h = U_t^* Y_{\psi_t(n)M} k \quad \text{for every } n \in N.$$

In proving (1.4), the idea is to move out to some larger $U_r^* H$ where we can compare the two sides. Formally, we note that because S is Ore, there are $u, v \in S$ such that $us = vt$, and then

$$(1.5) \quad V_u h = U_u h = U_{us}(U_s^* h) = U_{vt}(U_t^* k) = U_v k = V_v k.$$

Next, we fix $n \in N$, and note that

$$\{mM \in N/M : \psi_u^{-1}(m)M = nM\} = \{\psi_u(n)pM : p \in N \text{ and } \psi_u^{-1}(p)M = M\}.$$

Thus the covariance relation (1.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} U_s^*(Y_{\psi_s(n)M} h) &= U_{us}^* U_u(Y_{\psi_s(n)M} h) = U_{us}^* V_u(Y_{\psi_s(n)M} V_u^* V_u h) \\ &= U_{us}^* \left(\frac{1}{|M : \psi_u^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{\{mM : \psi_u^{-1}(m)M = \psi_s(n)M\}} Y_{mM} \right) V_u h \\ &= U_{us}^* Y_{\psi_{us}(n)M} \left(\frac{1}{|M : \psi_u^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{\{pM : \psi_u^{-1}(p)M = M\}} Y_{pM} \right) V_u h \\ &= U_{us}^* Y_{\psi_{us}(n)M} (V_u Y_M V_u^*) V_u h = U_{us}^* Y_{\psi_{us}(n)M} (V_u V_u^*) V_u h \\ &= U_{us}^* Y_{\psi_{us}(n)M} (V_u h). \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation shows that $U_t^*(Y_{\psi_t(n)M} k) = U_{vt}^* Y_{\psi_{vt}(n)M} (V_v k)$, which by (1.5) is also equal to $U_{us}^* Y_{\psi_{us}(n)M} (V_u h)$. This gives (1.4).

We have now shown that there is a well-defined map W_n on $\bigcup U_s^* H$ satisfying (1.2). It is easy to check that W_n is linear and isometric on each $U_s^* H$, and hence it extends

to an isometry on $\mathcal{H}$; since $W_m W_n = W_{mn}$, W is a unitary representation of N . For $h \in H$ and $s, t \in S$, we have

$$U_s W_n U_s^*(U_t^* h) = U_s U_{ts}^* Y_{\psi_{ts}(n)M} h = U_t^* Y_{\psi_t(\psi_s(n))M} h = W_{\psi_s(n)}(U_t^* h),$$

so that $U_s W_n U_s^* = W_{\psi_s(n)}$. Since every $g \in G$ has the form $g = s^{-1}t$ for $s, t \in S$, it follows that $U_g W_n U_g^* = W_{\psi_g(n)}$ for every $g \in G$, and hence the pair (W, U) does indeed define a representation $W \rtimes U$ of $N \rtimes_\psi G$. For $m \in M$ we have $W_m h = h$ for $h \in H$; thus $H \subset \mathcal{H}^M$, and the density of $\bigcup U_s^* H$ in $\mathcal{H}$ implies that $W \rtimes U$ is generated by its M -fixed vectors. That $W_n h = Y_{nM} h$ for $h \in H$ is clear from (1.2).

We have now proved the first part of Theorem 1.1. For the converse, we start with a representation $X \rtimes U$ of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ on $\mathcal{H}$ which is generated by $\mathcal{H}^M$. For $m \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}^M$, we have $X_m(X_n h) = X_n(X_{n^{-1}mn} h) = X_n h$, so $X_n h \in \mathcal{H}^M$; thus $X|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$ is a unitary representation of N which defines a representation Y of N/M , as claimed. For $m \in M$ and $s \in S$, we have $X_m(U_s h) = U_s(X_{\psi_s^{-1}(m)} h) = U_s h$, so U_s also leaves $\mathcal{H}^M$ invariant, and $V_s := U_s|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$ defines an isometric representation of S . Since $\mathcal{H}$ is generated by $\mathcal{H}^M$, the space $\mathcal{H}_0 := \bigcup_{(n,g) \in N \rtimes G} U_g X_n \mathcal{H}^M$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$; since both X and $U|_S$ leave $\mathcal{H}^M$ invariant and $G = S^{-1}S$, we have $\mathcal{H}_0 = \bigcup_{s \in S} U_s^* \mathcal{H}^M$. Thus U is a minimal unitary dilation of V .

To verify covariance of (π_Y, V) , we need the following standard lemma about representations of finite groups.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $R : G_0 \rightarrow U(H)$ be a representation of a finite group G_0 on a Hilbert space H . Then $|G_0|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G_0} R_g$ is the orthogonal projection on the subspace of G_0 -fixed vectors.*

Corollary 1.3. *Let $s \in S$ and suppose $F \subset M$ contains one representative of each coset in $\{mM \in N/M : \psi_s^{-1}(m)M = M\}$. Then $|M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|^{-1} \sum_{m \in F} X_m|_{U_s^* \mathcal{H}^M}$ is the projection P_s of $U_s^* \mathcal{H}^M$ on $\mathcal{H}^M$.*

Proof. For $m \in M$, $s \in S$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}^M$, we have $X_m(U_s^* h) = U_s^*(X_{\psi_s(m)} h)$, which belongs to $U_s^* \mathcal{H}^M$ because $\mathcal{H}^M$ is invariant for X . Similarly, we have

$$X_{\psi_s^{-1}(m)} U_s^* h = U_s^* X_{\psi_s(\psi_s^{-1}(m))} h = U_s^* X_m h = U_s^* h,$$

so $X|_{\psi_s^{-1}(M)} \equiv 1$ on $U_s^* \mathcal{H}^M$. Thus X induces a representation R of $M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ on $U_s^* \mathcal{H}^M$. The space of R -fixed vectors is $\mathcal{H}^M$, and hence the result follows from Lemma 1.2. $\square$

We verify covariance of (π_Y, V) by checking (1.3). With the notation of Corollary 1.3, the solutions of $\psi_s^{-1}(m)M = nM$ in $\psi_s(M)/M$ are $\{\psi_s(nm)M : m \in F\}$.

Thus applying the right-hand side of (1.3) to $h \in \mathcal{H}^M$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.6) \quad \left(\frac{1}{|M : \psi_{s^{-1}}(M)|} \sum_{m \in F} Y_{\psi_s(nm)M} \right) h &= \frac{1}{|M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{m \in F} X_{\psi_s(nm)} h \\
 &= \frac{1}{|M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{m \in F} U_s X_{nm} U_s^* h \\
 &= U_s X_n \left(\frac{1}{|M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|} \sum_{m \in F} X_m \right) U_s^* h \\
 &= U_s X_n P_s U_s^* h,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used Corollary 1.3 at the last step. Now note, first, that $P_s U_s^* h \in \mathcal{H}^M$, so $X_n P_s U_s^* h = Y_{nM} P_s U_s^* h$, and, second, that $P_s U_s^*$ is the adjoint of $V_s = U_s|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$ in $B(\mathcal{H}^M)$. Thus $U_s X_n P_s U_s^* h = V_s Y_{nM} V_s^* h$, and it follows from (1.6) that (π_Y, V) is a covariant representation of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$.

Finally, that we recover $W = X$ from the construction of the first part follows from Equation (1.2), the covariance of (π_X, U) , and the definition of Y_{nM} as the restriction of X_n : for $h \in \mathcal{H}^M$,

$$W_n(U_s^* h) = U_s^* \pi_Y(\delta_{\psi_s(n)M}) h = U_s^* Y_{\psi_s(n)M} h = U_s^* X_{\psi_s(n)} h = X_n(U_s^* h).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. THE MINIMAL AUTOMORPHIC DILATION

Theorem 1.1 tells us that we are interested in dilations of representations of the semigroup dynamical system $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$. Laca's analysis in [10, §2] says that we should therefore be looking at the *minimal automorphic dilation* (B, G, β) of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$, which is an automorphic dynamical system such that $B \rtimes_\beta G$ contains $C^*(N/M) \rtimes_\alpha S$ as a full corner. The minimal dilation (B, G, β) is characterised up to isomorphism by the existence of an embedding $i : C^*(N/M) \rightarrow B$ such that $\beta_s \circ i = i \circ \alpha_s$ for $s \in S$ and $\bigcup_{s \in S} \beta_s^{-1} \circ i(C^*(N/M))$ is dense in B [10, Theorem 2.1].

To identify the minimal automorphic dilation, we build a candidate for the dilation and apply [10, Theorem 2.1]. This was previously done for $(C^*(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{N}^*, \alpha)$ in [10, §3]; the dilation of this system is based on the algebra $C_0(\mathbb{A}_f)$ of functions on the abelian group $\mathbb{A}_f$ of finite adeles, and the argument justifying this in [10] uses the Fourier transform and standard properties of the adeles. Here our main task is to show that in the situation of §1 there is a good analogue of $\mathbb{A}_f$; this construction may be of interest in its own right (see §4). Because N/M need not be abelian, we avoid the Fourier transform, and work directly with group C^* -algebras.

Because it is Ore, the generating semigroup S directs G : $g \leq_r h \iff h \in Sg$. (The subscript r in $\leq_r$ reminds us that the relation $\leq_r$ is right-invariant.) If $s, t \in S$ satisfy $s \leq_r t$, then $t = rs$ for some $r \in S$, and $\psi_t^{-1}(M) = \psi_s^{-1}(\psi_r^{-1}(M)) \subset \psi_s^{-1}(M)$. Thus the quotient maps

$$\pi_t^s : N/\psi_t^{-1}(M) \rightarrow N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$$

form an inverse system over the directed set $(S, \leq_r)$. We denote by π^s the canonical homomorphism of the inverse limit $N_\infty := \varprojlim N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ into $N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$, so that

$\pi^s = \pi_t^s \circ \pi^t$ for $s \leq_r t$. We often view N_∞ as the subgroup of the direct product $\prod_{s \in S} N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ consisting of the families (x_s) satisfying the compatibility relations $\pi_t^s(x_t) = x_s$ for $s \leq_r t$; this viewpoint shows that, because each π_t^s is surjective, so is each π^s . For each $n \in N$, we can define a compatible family by $n_s := n\psi_s^{-1}(M)$, and this gives a canonical homomorphism j of N into N_∞ ; the property (1.1) implies that j is injective.

Since M is normal in N , the quotients $M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ are normal in $N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$, and the inverse limit $K := \varprojlim M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ is a normal subgroup of N_∞ . Because each $M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ is finite, K is a compact topological group. The open neighbourhoods of the identity in K are the neighbourhood basis for a unique locally compact group topology on N_∞ (this follows from [6, Theorem 4.5], for example). In this topology, K is a compact open normal subgroup of N_∞ , and this has some immediate consequences:

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose a locally compact group G has a compact open normal subgroup K . Then G is unimodular, and a homomorphism of G into a topological group is continuous if and only if it is continuous on K .*

Proof. To define a Haar integral on G , we take a Haar measure μ on K , a family $\{g_i\}$ of coset representatives for G/K , and define

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \sum_i \int_K f(g_i k) d\mu(k);$$

it is easy to check that this does not depend on the choice of coset representatives. If $t \in G$, then $\{tg_i\}$ is another set of coset representatives, so the integral is left-invariant; since the automorphism $k \mapsto t^{-1}kt$ preserves Haar measure on the compact group K , and since $\{g_it\}$ is another set of coset representatives, it is also right-invariant. To verify the comment on continuity of homomorphisms, consider a convergent net $g_i \rightarrow g$, and notice that $g_i g^{-1}$ converges to e and is eventually in K . $\square$

In our situation, it follows that the homomorphism π^e is continuous, and hence induces an isomorphism of the locally compact quotient N_∞/K onto the discrete group N/M . Since the cylinder sets $\{k : \pi^s(k) = m\psi_s^{-1}(M)\}$ form a basis for the topology on K , the image $j(M)$ of M under the embedding j is dense in K ; since $\pi^e(j(n)) = nM$ and $\ker \pi^e = K$, every element of N_∞ has the form $j(n)k$ for some $k \in K$, and it follows easily that $j(N)$ is dense in N_∞ .

Examples 2.2. (a) Fix a prime p , and take $S = \mathbb{N}$ (under addition), $G = \mathbb{Z}$, $N = \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}]$, $\psi_n(r) = p^{-n}r$, and $M = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $K = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z}$ is the additive group in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p -adic integers, and N_∞ is the additive group in the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of p -adic rationals.

(b) We take $S = \mathbb{N}^*$, $G = \mathbb{Q}_+^*$, $N = \mathbb{Q}$, $\psi_g(r) = g^{-1}r$, and $M = \mathbb{Z}$, so that the semigroup system is the one which gives the Bost-Connes Hecke algebra [13]. Since the operation in $\mathbb{N}^*$ is multiplication, the direction on $\mathbb{N}^*$ is given by $n \leq_r m \iff n \mid m$. We claim that $K =: \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is the additive group of integer adeles $\mathcal{Z}$, which is by definition the product $\prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$ over all primes p , and $\mathbb{Q}_\infty := \varprojlim \mathbb{Q}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is the additive

group in the ring $\mathbb{A}_f$ of finite adeles, which is by definition the restricted direct product

$$\mathbb{A}_f := \prod_p(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p) := \{(x_p) \in \prod_p \mathbb{Q}_p : x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for all but finitely many } p\}$$

(see [21, Chapter 5], for example).

To check the first claim, note that for each fixed p , the map $(x_n) \mapsto (x_{p^l})$ is a continuous homomorphism of $K = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ into $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z}$. Thus the map $\phi : (x_n) \mapsto ((x_{p^l}))$ is a continuous homomorphism of K into $\mathcal{Z}$. If $\phi((x_n)) = 0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ has prime factorisation $n = \prod p^{l_p}$, then $x_n \equiv x_{p^{l_p}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{l_p}}$ for all p , and $x_n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$; thus ϕ is injective. It is also surjective: if $((x_{p^l})) \in \mathcal{Z}$, and for $n = \prod p^{l_p}$ we take x_n to be the unique solution $\pmod{n}$ of the congruences $x_n \equiv x_{p^{l_p}} \pmod{p^{l_p}}$ guaranteed by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, then (x_n) is a compatible family with $\phi((x_n)) = ((x_{p^l}))$. Since the range of ϕ is compact, the inverse is continuous too, and ϕ is the required isomorphism. In fact, because each coordinate map is a ring homomorphism, ϕ is an isomorphism of compact topological rings, and it carries the image $j(n)$ of each integer n into the element $(j_p(n))$ of the product determined by the embeddings $j_p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Since $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} m^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, the group $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ is the union of the subgroups $m^{-1}K$, each of which is isomorphic to K via the maps $x \mapsto mx$. Similarly, $\prod_p(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the union of the subgroups $m^{-1}\mathcal{Z}$, where m^{-1} is the inverse of the element $(j_p(m))$ of $\prod \mathbb{Q}_p$; since $j_p(m)$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for all but finitely many p , the inverse makes sense in the restricted product. Now we define $\mu_m : m^{-1}K \rightarrow m^{-1}\mathcal{Z}$ by $\mu_m(x) := m^{-1}\phi(mx)$. If n divides m , say $m = kn$, and $x \in n^{-1}K \subset m^{-1}K$, then $nx \in K$ and the multiplicativity of ϕ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{kn}(x) &= (kn)^{-1}\phi(knx) = (kn)^{-1}\phi(j(k))\phi(nx) \\ &= (kn)^{-1}(j_p(k))\phi(nx) = n^{-1}\phi(nx) = \mu_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

So the μ_m combine to give a well-defined isomorphism μ of $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ onto $\mathbb{A}_f = \bigcup_m m^{-1}\mathcal{Z}$. Because $\mu|_K = \phi$ is a homeomorphism, so is μ , and we have proved the second claim.

The automorphic dilation which we seek will be based on the C^* -algebra of the locally compact group N_∞ . To describe the action, recall that the automorphisms ψ_t are isomorphisms of $\psi_{st}^{-1}(M)$ onto $\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ for every $s \in S$, and hence induce isomorphisms of $N/\psi_{st}^{-1}(M)$ onto $N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$. To avoid excessive twiddling, we use ψ_t to denote these and other homomorphisms induced by ψ_t .

Lemma 2.3. *There is an action θ of G by continuous automorphisms of N_∞ such that $\pi^s \circ \theta_t = \psi_t \circ \pi^{st}$ for every $s, t \in S$, and we then have $j \circ \psi_t = \theta_t \circ j : N \rightarrow N_\infty$.*

Proof. For fixed t , we apply the universal property of the inverse limit to the family $\psi_t \circ \pi^{st} : N_\infty \rightarrow N/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ to obtain an endomorphism θ_t of N_∞ such that $\pi^s \circ \theta_t = \psi_t \circ \pi^{st}$ for every s . Applying the same universal property to $\psi_t^{-1} \circ \pi^s$ gives an inverse for θ_t , so it is an automorphism. Since the homomorphisms $\psi_t^{-1} \circ \pi^s$ are continuous on K and take values in $M/\psi_{st}^{-1}(M)$, the universal property of the topological inverse limit $K = \varprojlim M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)$ implies that θ_t^{-1} is continuous on K ; since K is compact, this implies that θ_t^{-1} is a homeomorphism of K onto its image $(\pi^t)^{-1}(e)$. Because both K and $(\pi^t)^{-1}(e)$ are compact open subgroups of N_∞ , this is enough to ensure that θ_t^{-1}

and θ_t are continuous. To see that $j \circ \psi_t = \theta_t \circ j$, check that $\pi^s(j \circ \psi_t(n)) = \pi^s(\theta_t \circ j(n))$ for all $s \in S$ and $n \in N$. $\square$

The action θ of G on N_∞ induces an action $\theta_* : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } C^*(N_\infty)$, which will be the action in our dilated system. We now describe the embedding of $C^*(N/M)$ in $C^*(N_\infty)$:

Lemma 2.4. *Choose the Haar measure μ on N_∞ such that $\mu(K) = 1$. Then $\chi_K \in L^1(N_\infty, d\mu) \subset C^*(N_\infty)$ is a projection in $C^*(N_\infty)$, and there is a unital embedding i of $C^*(N/M)$ in $\chi_K C^*(N_\infty) \chi_K$ such that $i(\delta_{nM}) = \chi_{j(n)K}$ for all $n \in N$.*

Proof. For $x, y, z \in N_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{xK} * \chi_{yK}(z) &= \int_{N_\infty} \chi_{xK}(w) \chi_{yK}(w^{-1}z) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_{N_\infty} \chi_K(x^{-1}w) \chi_{yK}(w^{-1}z) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_K \chi_{yK}(w^{-1}x^{-1}z) d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Now because K is normal in N_∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w^{-1}x^{-1}z \in yK \text{ and } w \in K &\iff Kx^{-1}z = yK \text{ and } w \in K \\ &\iff x^{-1}zK = yK \text{ and } w \in K \\ &\iff zK = xyK \text{ and } w \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{xK} * \chi_{yK}(z) &= \begin{cases} \int_K \chi_K(z) d\mu(z) & \text{if } zK = xyK \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \chi_{xyK}(z). \end{aligned}$$

This implies in particular that $\chi_K^2 = \chi_K$ in $C^*(N_\infty)$. Since N_∞ is unimodular, we trivially have $\chi_K^* = \chi_K$, so χ_K is a projection in $C^*(N_\infty)$. The above calculation shows that the elements χ_{xK} lie in the corner $\chi_K C^*(N_\infty) \chi_K$, and that the map $xK \mapsto \chi_{xK}$ is a homomorphism of N_∞/K into the unitary group of the corner. Composing with the isomorphism $nM \mapsto j(n)K$ of N/M onto N_∞/K gives a homomorphism on N/M , and the integrated form i of this homomorphism has the required property. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *The system $(C^*(N_\infty), G, \theta_*)$ is a minimal automorphic dilation of the semigroup system $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$: the homomorphism $i : C^*(N/M) \rightarrow C^*(N_\infty)$ of Lemma 2.4 is injective and satisfies $i \circ \alpha_s = (\theta_*)_s \circ i$ for $s \in S$, and the union of the subalgebras $(\theta_*)_s^{-1}(i(C^*(N/M)))$ is dense in $C^*(N_\infty)$.*

We need to know how θ_* acts on the dense $*$ -subalgebra $L^1(N_\infty)$:

Lemma 2.6. *For $f \in L^1(N_\infty) \subset C^*(N_\infty)$ and $s \in S$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad (\theta_*)_s(f) = |\theta_s(K) : K|^{-1}(f \circ \theta_s^{-1}) = |M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|^{-1}(f \circ \theta_s^{-1}).$$

Proof. If μ is a Haar measure on N_∞ , so is $E \mapsto \mu(\theta_s(E))$, and hence there exists $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\mu \circ \theta_s = c\mu$; plugging in $E = K$ shows that $c = |\theta_s(K) : K|$. Now $\theta_s(K) = \varprojlim \psi_s(M)/\psi_t^{-1}(M)$ and $K = \varprojlim M/\psi_t^{-1}(M)$, and each $M/\psi_t^{-1}(M)$ has the same index in $\psi_s(M)/\psi_t^{-1}(M)$, so we have

$$|\theta_s(K) : K| = |\psi_s(M) : M| = |M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|$$

(this follows from [15, Lemma 3.6], for example). This implies that

$$(2.2) \quad \int f \circ \theta_s d\mu = |\theta_s(K) : K|^{-1} \int f d\mu = |M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|^{-1} \int f d\mu$$

for $f = \chi_E$, and we can extend (2.2) to $f \in L^1(N_\infty)$ by the usual bootstrap arguments. Applying (2.2) to $(\theta_*)_s(f) = \int f(x) \delta_{\theta_s(x)} d\mu(x) \in C^*(N_\infty)$ gives (2.1). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. To see that i is injective, let $\pi = \pi_W$ be a faithful representation of $C^*(N/M)$. Then for $n \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{W \circ \pi^e}(i(\delta_{nM})) &= \int_{N_\infty} \chi_{j(n)K}(x) W \circ \pi^e(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_K W \circ \pi^e(j(n)x) d\mu(x) \\ &= W_{nM} \left(\int_K W \circ \pi^e(x) d\mu(x) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is just W_{nM} because $K = \ker \pi^e$ and $\mu(K) = 1$. Thus the representation π_W factors as $\pi_{W \circ \pi^e} \circ i$, and i must be injective.

To verify that $i \circ \alpha_s = (\theta_*)_s \circ i$, let $nM \in N/M$. Then

$$i \circ \alpha_s(\delta_{nM}) = |M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|^{-1} \sum_{\{mM : \psi_s^{-1}(mM) = nM\}} \chi_{j(m)K}.$$

The cosets mM such that $\psi_s^{-1}(mM) = nM$ are disjoint, with union $\psi_s(nM) \subset N$; the corresponding cosets $j(m)K = (\pi^e)^{-1}(mM)$ in N_∞ are also disjoint, with union $\theta_s(j(n)K)$. Thus from Lemma 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} i \circ \alpha_s(\delta_{nM}) &= |M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|^{-1} \chi_{\theta_s(j(n)K)} = |M : \psi_s^{-1}(M)|^{-1} \chi_{j(n)K} \circ \theta_s^{-1} \\ &= (\theta_*)_s(\chi_{j(n)K}) = (\theta_*)_s \circ i(\delta_{nM}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $i \circ \alpha_s = (\theta_*)_s \circ i$ on all of $C^*(N/M)$.

For the minimality, we use Lemma 2.6 again to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad (\theta_*)_s^{-1}(i(\delta_{nM})) &= (\theta_s^{-1})_*(\chi_{j(n)K}) \\ &= |K : \theta_s^{-1}(K)| \chi_{j(n)K} \circ \theta_s \\ &= |K : \theta_s^{-1}(K)| \chi_{(\pi^s)^{-1}(\psi_s^{-1}(nM))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the cylinder sets $\{(\pi^s)^{-1}(z) : z \in M/\psi_s^{-1}(M)\}$ form a basis of compact open sets for the topology on K , the sets

$$\{(\pi^s)^{-1}(\psi_s^{-1}(nM)) : n \in N\}$$

form a basis of compact open sets for the topology on N_∞ . Thus the functions (2.3) span a dense subspace of $C_c(N_\infty) \subset L^1(N_\infty)$, and hence also of $C^*(N_\infty)$. $\square$

3. THE MAIN THEOREM

We now identify the category of representations of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ which are generated by their M -fixed vectors. We retain the notation of the previous sections. In particular, we recall from Lemma 2.3 that the embedding $j : N \rightarrow N_\infty$ intertwines the actions ψ and θ of G , and hence induces an embedding of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ as a dense subgroup of the locally compact group $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$. We identify $N \rtimes_\psi G$ with its image in $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$.

Theorem 3.1. *Restriction from $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$ to $N \rtimes_\psi G$ is an equivalence between the categories of continuous unitary representations of $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$ and the category of unitary representations of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ which are generated by their M -fixed vectors. Both categories are equivalent to the category of representations of $C^*(N/M) \rtimes_\alpha S$.*

Proof. Since $N \rtimes_\psi G$ is dense in $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$, an operator intertwines two representations of $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$ if and only if it intertwines their restrictions to $N \rtimes_\psi G$. So for the first part, it suffices to prove that every restriction is generated by its M -fixed vectors, and that every representation of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ which is generated by its M -fixed vectors extends to a representation of $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$.

Suppose $W \rtimes U$ is a continuous unitary representation of $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$ on $\mathcal{H}$. Since $C^*(N_\infty) = \overline{\bigcup_{s \in S} (\theta_*)^{-1}_s(i(C^*(N/M)))}$ acts nondegenerately, the representation is generated by the vectors in $\pi_W(i(1_{C^*(N/M)}))\mathcal{H}$. But $i(1_{C^*(N/M)}) = \chi_K$, so for $m \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{j(m)}\pi_W(i(1_{C^*(N/M)})) &= W_{j(m)}\left(\int_K W_k d\mu(k)\right) \\ &= \int W_{j(m)k} d\mu(k) \\ &= \pi_W(i(1_{C^*(N/M)})), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $W \rtimes U|_M = W \circ j|_M$ fixes $\pi_W(i(1_{C^*(N/M)}))\mathcal{H}$.

Next suppose that $X \rtimes U$ is a unitary representation of $N \rtimes_\psi G$ which is generated by its space $\mathcal{H}^M$ of M -fixed vectors. From Theorem 1.1, we know that U is a minimal dilation of $V := U|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$, and if we set $Y_{nM} := X_n|_{\mathcal{H}^M}$, then (π_Y, V) is a covariant representation of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$ on $\mathcal{H}^M$. Because $(C^*(N_\infty), G, \theta_*)$ is a minimal dilation of $(C^*(N/M), S, \alpha)$, we know from [10, Lemma 2.3] that there is a unique representation π of $C^*(N_\infty)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that (π, U) is a covariant representation of $(C^*(N_\infty), G, \theta_*)$ and $\pi \circ i|_{\mathcal{H}^M} = \pi_Y$. Let W be the continuous unitary representation of N_∞ such that $\pi = \pi_W$; we claim that $W \rtimes U$ is the required continuous unitary representation of $N_\infty \rtimes_\theta G$. It suffices to see that $W|_N = X$, or equivalently that $W \circ j = X$. Let $n \in N$, and note that

$$\pi \circ i(\delta_{nM}) = \pi(\chi_{j(n)K}) = W_{j(n)}\pi(\chi_K) = W_{j(n)}\pi \circ i(1_{C^*(N/M)}).$$

The relation $\pi \circ i|_{\mathcal{H}^M} = \pi_Y$ implies that $\pi \circ i(1_{C^*(N/M)})$ is the identity on $\mathcal{H}^M$, and hence that

$$W_{j(n)}|_{\mathcal{H}^M} = \pi \circ i(\delta_{nM})|_{\mathcal{H}^M} = Y_{nM}.$$

Thus for $s \in S$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}^M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{j(n)}U_s^*h &= U_s^*W_{\theta_s(j(n))}h = U_s^*W_{j(\psi_s(n))}h = U_s^*Y_{\psi_s(n)M}h \\ &= U_s^*X_{\psi_s(n)}h = X_nU_s^*h, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $W_{j(n)} = X_n$ because $\bigcup U_s^*\mathcal{H}^M$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

The last assertion follows from Theorem 2.5 and Theorem A.1. $\square$

4. EXAMPLES

As we mentioned in the introduction, our construction of $N_\infty \rtimes G$ as an inverse limit seems to be a little different from that used by Schlichting and other authors, and may have some advantages. The presentation of the additive adeles $\mathbb{A}_f$ as the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathbb{Q}/n\mathbb{Z}$ over the directed set $\mathbb{N}^*$, for example, is a little unusual. Here we shall see how it quickly gives the self-duality of $\mathbb{A}_f$, and use similar arguments to produce a family of self-dual locally compact abelian groups from the examples considered in [3] and [16].

Example 4.1. We use the descriptions $\mathbb{A}_f = \varprojlim \mathbb{Q}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ from Example 2.2. We begin by recalling that the pairings $\langle k, r \rangle := \exp(2\pi ikr)$ of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ induce isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ onto $(\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^\wedge$. These pairings are compatible with the bonding maps $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, which are given by reduction mod n when n divides m , and with the inclusions of $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ in $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$; thus they induce a continuous isomorphism Φ of $\mathcal{Z}$ onto $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^\wedge = (\varprojlim \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^\wedge = \varprojlim (\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^\wedge$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(x)(r) = \langle \pi^n(x), r \rangle = e^{2\pi i \pi^n(x)r} \quad \text{for } r \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now for $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{A}_f$, let d_x be the denominator of $\pi^0(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$(4.2) \quad \langle x, y \rangle := e^{2\pi i \pi^n(x) \pi^n(y)}$$

is the same for each n which is divisible by both d_x and d_y . For fixed $x \in \mathbb{A}_f$, the map $\langle x, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{A}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a homomorphism. Since $\langle x, \cdot \rangle$ is identically 1 on $d_x\mathcal{Z}$, it is continuous on this compact open subgroup of $\mathbb{A}_f$, and hence is continuous on $\mathbb{A}_f$ by Lemma 2.1. It follows that $\Psi : x \mapsto \langle x, \cdot \rangle$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{A}_f$ into $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_f$. Note that Ψ takes $\mathcal{Z}$ onto $\mathcal{Z}^\perp \cong (\mathbb{A}_f/\mathcal{Z})^\wedge \cong (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})^\wedge$, and coincides on $\mathcal{Z}$ with the continuous isomorphism Φ of (4.1). The induced homomorphism q of $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{A}_f/\mathcal{Z}$ into $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}_f/\mathcal{Z}^\perp \cong \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}$ is given by $q(r)(z) = \langle z, r \rangle = \exp(2\pi i \pi^n(z)r)$ for $r \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathcal{Z}$; it is therefore the dual of the isomorphism in (4.1), and in particular is an isomorphism. We deduce, first, that Ψ is a group isomorphism, and, second, that both Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are continuous on compact open subgroups, and hence continuous everywhere.

Example 4.2. Let F and M be commuting matrices in $GL_d(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\det F \neq 1$, $\det M \neq 1$ and $(\det F, \det M) = 1$. Let $S = \mathbb{N}^2$, $N = \bigcup_{m,n} F^{-m}M^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$, and define $\psi : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ by $\psi_{k,l} = F^{-k}M^{-l}$. The subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of N satisfies $\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \psi_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, Equation (1.1) and

$$|\mathbb{Z}^d : F^m M^n \mathbb{Z}^d| = (\det F)^m (\det M)^n < \infty \quad \text{for all } (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$$

(see [3, §4.4] and [16, Example 3.6]). The construction of §2 yields

$$N_\infty = \varprojlim N/(F^m M^n \mathbb{Z}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad K = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}^d/(F^m M^n \mathbb{Z}^d),$$

with $N_\infty/K \cong N/\mathbb{Z}^d = \varprojlim (F^{-m} M^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d)/\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let N_∞^t and K^t be the groups obtained by applying the same construction to the transposes F^t and M^t .

Following the previous example, we fix $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, and note that the usual pairing $(w, k) \mapsto \exp(2\pi i w \cdot k)$ of $\mathbb{R}^d/\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\mathbb{Z}^d$ implements an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^d/(F^m M^n \mathbb{Z}^d)$ onto $((F^t)^{-m} (M^t)^{-n} \mathbb{Z}^d)/\mathbb{Z}^d$; these isomorphisms combine to give a continuous isomorphism Φ of K onto $(N^t/\mathbb{Z}^d)^\wedge$. Now for $x \in N_\infty$, we choose the smallest (m_0, n_0) such that $\pi^0(x) \in (F^{-m_0} M^{-n_0} \mathbb{Z}^d)/\mathbb{Z}^d$. The number $f(x, y) := \langle \pi^{m,n}(x), \pi^{m,n}(y) \rangle$ is constant for $(m, n) \geq (m_0, n_0)$, and $x \mapsto f(x, \cdot)$ induces a homomorphism Ψ of N_∞ into $(N_\infty^t)^\wedge$ which restricts to the isomorphism Φ of K onto $(K^t)^\perp \cong (N_\infty^t/K^t)^\wedge \cong (N^t/\mathbb{Z}^d)^\wedge$. The argument of the previous example shows that Ψ is an isomorphism of the topological group N_∞ onto $(N_\infty^t)^\wedge$.

The construction of the previous paragraph shows in particular that the locally compact abelian group N_∞ is self-dual whenever $F = F^t$ and $M = M^t$.

APPENDIX A. DILATION AND INDUCTION

Let $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{End } A$ be an action of an Ore semigroup S by endomorphisms of a C^* -algebra A . For simplicity, we assume that A has an identity, but not that the α_s preserve it. (If analogues of these results for endomorphic actions on nonunital algebras are required, it should be possible to obtain them using the techniques of [19, §4].) We consider the minimal automorphic dilation (B, G, α) of (A, S, α) , and write i for the embedding of A in B .

The crossed product $B \rtimes_\beta G$ is generated by a copy of B and a copy $\{u_s : s \in G\}$ of G in $UM(B \rtimes_\beta G)$; elements of the form $\{bu_s : b \in B, s \in G\}$ span a dense subspace of $B \rtimes_\beta G$. We denote by $p = i(1_A)$ the image of the identity of A in B . Then for $s \in S$,

$$u_s p = \beta_s(i(1_A))u_s = i(\alpha_s(1_A))u_s = i(1_A)i(\alpha_s(1_A))u_s = pu_s p,$$

so $v_s := u_s p$ defines an isometric representation v of S in $p(B \rtimes_\beta G)p$; the pair (i, v) is a covariant representation of (A, S, α) in $p(B \rtimes_\beta G)p$. It is shown in [10, Theorem 2.4] that p is a full projection in $M(B)$, and that $i \times v$ is an isomorphism of $A \rtimes_\alpha S$ onto $p(B \rtimes_\beta G)p$. We identify $A \rtimes_\alpha S$ with the corner $p(B \rtimes_\beta G)p$ using $i \times v$.

The crucial step in the proof of [10, Theorem 2.4] is what Laca calls the *dilation-extension* of a covariant representation (π, V) to a covariant representation (ρ, U) of (B, G, β) : indeed, if $U : G \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ is any minimal unitary dilation of V , then there is a compatible representation ρ of B on $\mathcal{H}$ [10, Lemma 2.3]. To undo the extension-dilation process, we restrict U_s to the invariant subspace $\rho(p)\mathcal{H}_U$, and compress the representation $\rho \circ i$ to obtain a representation of A on $\rho(p)\mathcal{H}$; we call the resulting covariant representation of (A, S, α) the *restriction-compression* of (ρ, U) . Restriction-compression is a functor RC from the category of covariant representations of (B, G, β) to the category of covariant representations of (A, S, α) , or, equivalently, from the representations of $B \rtimes_\beta G$ to the representations of $A \rtimes_\alpha S$.

The main result of this Appendix is the following strengthening of [10, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem A.1. *Let α be an action of an Ore semigroup S by injective endomorphisms of a unital C^* -algebra A , and let (B, G, β) be a minimal automorphic dilation of (A, S, α) . Then Lacas's dilation-extension construction implements an equivalence between the category of representations of $A \rtimes_\alpha S$ and the category of representations of $B \rtimes_\beta G$; the inverse is given by restriction-compression.*

To verify that Lacas's construction defines a functor, we recognise it as the inducing construction associated to the imprimitivity bimodule $X := (B \rtimes_\beta G)p$, and define the dilation-extension of a representation $\pi \times V$ of $A \rtimes_\alpha S$ to be $X\text{-Ind}(\pi \times V)$; the general theory of Rieffel then says that $X\text{-Ind}$ is an equivalence of categories [20, Theorem 3.29]. To prove the theorem, therefore, we have to verify that $X\text{-Ind}$ implements Lacas's dilation-extension, and that the inverse functor, which is the induction process $\tilde{X}\text{-Ind}$ associated to the dual bimodule $\tilde{X}$ of [20, §3.2], is naturally equivalent to restriction-compression.

Lemma A.2. *Suppose that (π, V) is a covariant representation of (A, S, α) on H . Let $X\text{-Ind}(\pi \times V)$ be the induced representation of $B \rtimes G$ on $X \otimes_{A \rtimes_\alpha S} H$, which satisfies $X\text{-Ind}(\pi \times V)(d)(x \otimes h) = (d \cdot x) \otimes h$ for $d \in B \rtimes G$ and $x \in X$. Write $X\text{-Ind}(\pi \times V) = \sigma \times W$. Then W is a minimal unitary dilation of V , and $\sigma \circ i|_{i(1)_H} = \pi$.*

Proof. Since $\langle p, p \rangle_{A \rtimes_\alpha S} = p$ is the identity in $A \rtimes_\alpha S$, $\phi(h) := p \otimes h$ defines an isometric embedding ϕ of H in $X \otimes_{A \rtimes_\alpha S} H$. The equation $v_s = pu_s p = u_s p$ implies that $\phi(V_s h) = W_s \phi(h)$, so W is a dilation of V . To see that it is minimal, note that the elements of the form $u_s^* i(a) u_t$ span a dense subspace of $B \rtimes_\beta G$, and hence the elements of the form $(u_s^* i(a) u_t p) \otimes h$ span a dense subspace of $X \otimes_{A \rtimes_\alpha S} H$. But

$$(u_s^* i(a) u_t p) \otimes h = u_s^* i(a) v_t \otimes h = u_s^* p \otimes \pi(a) V_t h = W_s^*(\phi(\pi(a) V_t h))$$

belongs to $W_s^*(\phi(H))$, so it follows that $\bigcup W_s^*(\phi(H))$ is dense in $X \otimes_{A \rtimes_\alpha S} H$. Finally, for $a \in A$ and $h \in H$, we have

$$\sigma(i(a))(\phi(h)) = (i(a)p) \otimes h = p \otimes \pi(a)h = \phi(\pi(a)h),$$

which gives the last observation. $\square$

Remark A.3. At first sight it might seem strange that the Hilbert space of the dilation is determined by V alone. However, this also happens for induced representations of dynamical systems: if $\beta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } B$, H is a subgroup of G , and (π, U) is a covariant representation of (B, H, β) , then the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{B \rtimes H}^{B \rtimes G}(\pi \times U)$ acts in the Hilbert space of $\text{Ind}_H^G U$ (see [23]).

Next we show that the functor $\tilde{X}\text{-Ind}$ is naturally equivalent to the restriction-compression functor. It is helpful to note that $\flat(dp) \mapsto pd^*$ is an isomorphism of $\tilde{X} := \{\flat(x) : x \in X\}$ onto $p(B \rtimes_\beta G)$.

Lemma A.4. *For each covariant representation (ρ, U) of (B, G, β) on $\mathcal{H}_U$, the map $\Theta_{\rho, U} : pd \otimes h \mapsto \rho \times U(pd)h$ extends to a unitary operator $\tilde{X} \otimes_{B \rtimes G} \mathcal{H}_U \rightarrow \rho(p)\mathcal{H}_U$ which*

implements an equivalence between $\tilde{X}\text{-Ind}(\rho \times U)$ and $RC(\rho, U)$. The isomorphisms $\Theta_{\rho, U}$ implement a natural equivalence between $\tilde{X}\text{-Ind}$ and RC .

Proof. The map $\Theta_{\rho, U}$ is isometric because the inner product on $\tilde{X} \otimes_{B \rtimes G} \mathcal{H}_U$ is given by

$$(pd \otimes h \mid pd \otimes h) = (\rho \times U(\langle pd, pd \rangle_{B \rtimes G} h \mid h))_{\mathcal{H}_U} = (\rho \times U(d^* pd)h \mid h)_{\mathcal{H}_U};$$

it is onto because $\rho \times U$ is nondegenerate. A routine calculation shows that

$$\Theta_{\rho, U}(\tilde{X}\text{-Ind}(\rho \times U)(c)(pd \otimes h)) = RC(\rho, U)(c)(\Theta_{\rho, U}(pd \otimes h))$$

for $c \in A \rtimes S$ and $pd \otimes h \in \tilde{X} \otimes_{B \rtimes G} \mathcal{H}_U$.

To check naturality, suppose $T : \mathcal{H}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_W$ intertwines $\rho \times U$ and $\sigma \times W$. The morphism $RC(T)$ is just the restriction of T , so

$$RC(T) \circ \Theta_{\rho, U}(pd \otimes h) = T(\rho \times U(pd)h) = \sigma \times W(pd)(Th) = \Theta_{\sigma, W}(pd \otimes Th),$$

which is $\Theta_{\sigma, W}(\tilde{X}\text{-Ind}(T)(pd \otimes h))$. $\square$

Theorem A.1 now follows from Rieffel's general result, Lemma A.2, and Lemma A.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arledge, M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and Hecke algebras arising from number fields*, Documenta Math. **2** (1997), 115–138.
- [2] J.-B. Bost and A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, Selecta Math. (New Series) **1** (1995), 411–457.
- [3] B. Brenken, *Hecke algebras and semigroup crossed product C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **187** (1999), 241–262.
- [4] H. Glöckner, *Details of Hall's category equivalence*, seminar notes, Univ. of Newcastle, August 2000.
- [5] R. W. Hall, *Hecke C^* -Algebras*, PhD thesis, Penn. State Univ., December 1999.
- [6] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
- [7] S. Kaliszewski, M. B. Landstad and J. Quigg, in preparation.
- [8] A. Krieg, *Hecke algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1990), no. 435.
- [9] M. Laca, *Semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms, Dirichlet series and phase transitions*, J. Funct. Anal. **152** (1998), 330–378.
- [10] M. Laca, *From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners*, J. London Math. Soc. **61** (2000), 893–904.
- [11] M. Laca and N. S. Larsen, in preparation.
- [12] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *Semigroup crossed products and the Toeplitz algebras of nonabelian groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **139** (1996), 415–440.
- [13] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *A semigroup crossed product arising in number theory*, J. London Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 330–344.
- [14] M. Laca and I. Raeburn, *The ideal structure of the Hecke C^* -algebra of Bost and Connes*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 433–451.
- [15] N. S. Larsen, I. F. Putnam and I. Raeburn, *The two-prime analogue of the Hecke C^* -algebra of Bost and Connes*, preprint, Univ. of Newcastle, 2000.
- [16] N. S. Larsen and I. Raeburn, *Faithful representations of crossed products by actions of $\mathbb{N}^k$* , Math. Scand., to appear.
- [17] G. J. Murphy, *Crossed products of C^* -algebras by endomorphisms*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **24** (1996), 298–319.
- [18] S. Neshveyev, *Ergodicity of the action of the positive rationals on the group of finite adèles and the Bost-Connes phase transition theorem*, preprint, Kharkov, 2000.

- [19] D. Pask, I. Raeburn and T. Yeend, *Actions of semigroups on directed graphs and their C^* -algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **159** (2001), 297–313.
- [20] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, *Morita Equivalence and Continuous-Trace C^* -Algebras*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998.
- [21] D. Ramakrishnan and R. J. Valenza, *Fourier Analysis on Number Fields*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 186, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [22] G. Schlichting, *On the periodicity of group operations*, Group Theory (Singapore, 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989, pages 507–517.
- [23] M. Takesaki, *Covariant representations of C^* -algebras and their locally compact automorphism groups*, Acta Math. **119** (1967), 273–303.
- [24] K. Tzanev, *C^* -Algèbres de Hecke et K -Théorie*, PhD thesis, Univ. Paris 7, December 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5,
DK-2100 COPENHAGEN Ø, DENMARK.

E-mail address: `nadia@math.ku.dk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE, NSW 2308, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `iaain@maths.newcastle.edu.au`